



Doing More, for Many More, with Less

Kentucky Institute for Effective Governance

September 20, 2004

Peter Ewell

National Center for Higher Education Management Systems
(NCHEMS)






The Current Environment for Higher Education: A Challenge to Academic Design and Delivery

- Severe (and Likely Long-Term) State Revenue Constraints
- Growing Enrollment Demand
- New Views About Return on Investment from Higher Education (Individual and Collective)





Mild Recession But Severe Fiscal Crisis



SOURCES: Bureau of the Census, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Rockefeller Institute of Government





What Drives Up Instructional Costs?

- A Labor-Intensive Mode of Instruction
- Growth by Addition and Duplication, not Substitution
- Incentive Systems that Discourage Cooperation/Joint Ventures





Keys to Greater “Learning Productivity”

- Coherent and Intentional “Designs for Learning”
- Based on Known Best Practices from Research
- Involving Critical Re-Examination of the Instructional “Production Function”





What Impedes “Learning Productivity”

- Student Time Spent Passively “Receiving Content”
- Lock-Step Designs that Don’t Allow Self-Pacing or Capitalizing on Prior Student Learning
- Linear Designs that Don’t Allow Students to Choose their Own Paths through Content
- Infrequent Feedback on Performance
- Duplication of Effort





Developing Solutions: Levels of Analysis

- **State/System** Strategies Based on Scale and Cooperative Arrangements Among Institutions
- **Institutional** Strategies Based on Curriculum Restructuring and Alignment
- **Course-Level** Strategies Based on Technology and Redesigning What Faculty Do





Some State/System Strategies

- Block Purchasing of Academic Content and Central or Contracted Development of Expensive Technology-Based Courses
- “Lead Institutions” for Specific Disciplines, Curriculum Blocks, or Delivery Approaches
- “Seamless” Programs to Allow Efficient Transfer of Credit
- Targeted Incentive Programs (in which Independent Colleges can Play)





Examples of KY State/System Strategies

- K-Core – Redesign General Education to Increase Capacity, Accessibility, Quality, and Student Learning
- Entrepreneurship Program – Modular Program Design through KCTCS
- University Completer Programs – Allow Students to Complete BA Efficiently and Quickly (mostly On-Line) After Attending KCTCS





Some Institutional Lines of Attack

- Increasing Program Productivity
- Improving Curricular Efficiency
- Improving Curricular Coherence
- Improving Faculty Productivity





Program Productivity: Key Questions

What If the Institution:

- Brought Disproportionately Expensive Programs into Line with Peers?
- Reduced its New Freshman Attrition Rate?
- Salvaged “At Risk” Students?
- Reduced Number of Students Graduating with Excessive Numbers of Credits?





Curriculum Efficiency: Key Questions

What If the Institution:

- Increased Average Class Sizes (or Optimized Large-Course Enrollments to Selectively Subsidize Smaller Sections)?
- Reduced the Number of Under-Enrolled Course Sections?
- Reduced the Number of Discretionary or Elective Course Offerings?
- Created a Year-Round Calendar?





Curriculum Coherence: Key Questions

What If the Institution:

- Reduced Content Duplication Across Departmental Offerings?”
- Rationalized Pre-Requisite Sequences and Placement Policies?
- Offered Sufficient Sections of Courses “Just in Time” When Needed?
- Allowed Students to Test Out of Courses?





Faculty Productivity: Key Questions

What If the Institution:

- Reduced its Reliance on Full-time, Tenure Track Faculty?
- Reduced the Number of Faculty on Release Time?
- Restructured Faculty Work from “Teaching” Toward Designing Curricula and Supervising Teams of Less-Expensive Personnel?





Reduce Cost of Disproportionately Expensive Programs: An Example

- Regional Institution with Enrollment of 7400
- Instructional Costs per FTE vary from Under \$2,000 to Over \$6,000
- Identified 4 Programs Costing more than 40% More than at Peer Institutions
- Reducing Costs in these Programs to Peer Average Would Result in Savings of \$456,219 per Year





Reducing Freshman Attrition: An Example

- Institution with 1600 New Freshmen per Year
- Implements Comprehensive First-Year Retention Program Costing \$257,000/year
- Increased First-Year Retention by 7% Over 2 Years
- Increased Tuition Revenue Almost \$2 Million for Net Increase of \$1.75 Million





Reducing Discretionary Offerings: An Example

- **Institution Identified About 350 Upper-Division Courses with Low Enrollments per year, Not Linked to Program Requirements**
- **Cut this to 250 and Reassign Full-time Faculty to High Enrollment Lower-Division Courses Taught by Part-time Faculty**
- **Based on Full-time Load, Savings of 11.5 FTE in Part-time Faculty**
- **Total Savings = \$313,594**





Using Technology: Key Assumptions

- Costs Will Continue to Rise if we Rely Exclusively on a “Credit-for-Contact” Model
- If we “Bolt-On” Technology to this Model, Costs will Increase Even More
- While People Costs Continue to Rise, Technology Costs Continue to Fall
- Concentrate on Large-Enrollment Courses where Intervention will Really Matter





Course-Level Redesign: Key Principles

- Redesign the *Whole Course*
- Concentrate on Large-Enrollment Courses where Intervention will Really Matter
- Begin with Clear Learning Objectives for the Course (and how you will know they are met)
- Examine Each Activity and Cost It Out
- Use Technology and Re-Structure Deployment of Teaching Staff





Demonstration Projects by the Center for Academic Transformation (CAT)

- Directed at Redesigning a Single Large-Enrollment Freshman Course
- Pew Grant Program Involving 30 Institutional Redesigns [Now Completed and Documented]
- Current “R2R” Project Involving About 35 More Institutions in a “Streamlined” Redesign
- Overall Result: Costs Cut with Equivalent or Better Learning





CAT Approaches to Re-Design

- *Supplemental*: Supplements Regular Delivery with Automated Out-of-Class Activities
- *Replacement*: Reduce Face-to-Face Meetings and Restructure Learning Activities
- *Emporium*: Eliminate Regular Classes and Replace with Resource Center Featuring On-Line Materials and Personalized Assistance
- *Buffet*: Customize On-Line Learning Environment for Each Student Based on Assessed Characteristics of Learner





Examples of Techniques within Redesigns

- **Shift Students from Passive Note-taking to Active Manipulation of Materials**
- **Materials Present Abstract Concepts Interactively and in Multiple Modes (e.g. Visual, Verbal, etc.)**
- **Opportunities to Refresh Knowledge “On Demand”**
- **Interactive Tutorials, Exercises Give Students Needed Practice**
- **Automated, Low-Stakes Quizzes Provide Immediate Feedback**





Examples of Techniques within Redesigns

- **Self-Pacing of Materials, with Multiple Paths**
- **More Individualized Assistance (both Personal and On-Line)**
- **Collaboration and Use of Teams in Problem-Solving**
- **24 x7 Access to On-Line Learning Resources**
- **Modularization that Allows “Testing Out” of Content Already Mastered**





A Typical “Replacement” Re-Design Effort

- *Base Course*: 15 Weeks, 350 Students, 2 Lecture and 2 1-hour Discussion Sessions (8 sections) per Week
- *Redesigned Course*: Eliminates 1 Lecture and 1 Discussion Session; Adds 24 x 7 Access Modules and Drop-in Help Lab with Lab Monitor
- *Savings*: \$21,591 * 8 Sections = \$172,730





Virginia Tech's "Math Emporium"

- **Multiple Sections Combined to a Single Course Offered in 500-WorkStation Lab Open 24 x 7**
- **Content Modularized to 1-2 Week Blocks with Associated Problem Sets, Practice Quizzes, and Final**
- **Students Access Materials on Demand at Own Pace**
- **TAs Provide Hints and Assistance on Demand**
- **Scores on Math Problems Improved 17%, Failure Rate Dropped 39%, Cost-per-Student Cut by 68%**





Academic Management Information: The Critical Resource for Transformation

Key Questions to be Addressed:

- **How do Students “Flow Through” the Institution?**
- **How do Students “Act Out” the Curriculum?**
- **How Much Do Instructional Activities Cost?**
- **How Well are Students Learning?**
- **Are Student Learning the Right Things?**





Some Final Reminders

- **Higher Education Faces a Serious, Long-Term, Productivity Problem**
- **This Problem is Not Unlike that Faced by Other Industries (and we can learn from what they do)**
- **This Problem Cannot Be Addressed Without Re-Examining the “Core Business” of Undergraduate Teaching and Learning**
- **It is Possible to Reduce Unit Costs without Sacrificing Academic Effectiveness**
- **Incremental, Individual-Faculty-Based, “Add-On” Strategies Will Not Be Sufficient**

